

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,639	09/20/2005	Hubert Cecile François Martens	NL 030329	8062
24737 PHII IPS INTI	7590 10/01/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		SASINOWSKI, ANDREW		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/549,639	MARTENS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANDREW J. SASINOWSKI	2627	

	ANDREW J. SASINOWSKI	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	∑The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	F '41 07 OFD 44 07	Florid Make to the common and the				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>						
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the			
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/HOA T NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627	/ANDREW J SASINOW Examiner, Art Unit 2627	'SKI/				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner, Art Unit 2627

Amendments to claims 1 and 4 add new claim elements, and would require further search and consideration.